A Novel Flexible Lane Changing (FLC) Method in Complicated Dynamic Environment for Automated Vehicles

Mohsen Rafat\(^1\), Shahram Azadi\(^2\)

\(^1\) PhD. Candidate, Mechanical Engineering Department, K. N. Toosi University of Technology, 19991-43344 Tehran, Iran
\(^2\) Associate Professor, Mechanical Engineering Department, K. N. Toosi University of Technology, 19991-43344 Tehran, Iran

Abstract. Decision making and path planning in case of highly transient dynamics of the surrounding as well as the effect of road condition are the issues that are not completely solved in the previous researches. The goal is to perform a safe and comfortable lane change that includes flexible re-planning capabilities. In this paper, a novel structure for path planning and decision making part of a vehicle automatic lane change has been introduced which comprehensively considers both longitudinal and lateral dynamics of the vehicle. The presented method is able to perform re-planning even in the middle of a lane change maneuver according to new traffic condition. Inclusion of the dynamics of all involved vehicles and providing online performance are the other advantages of the proposed system. The algorithm is simulated and various scenarios are constructed to evaluate the efficiency of the system. The results show that the system has completely acceptable performance.
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1. Introduction

Automated driving has been identified as one of the key technologies to improve road safety and governmental policies were introduced that promote innovations and technologies in this field [1-2]. Also, the preparation of a highly automated vehicle for lane changing maneuver in every condition requires data of surrounding vehicles and other traffic lanes [3-5].

One of the most important issues that automated vehicles face in complex maneuvers such as lane changing is continuous variation in traffic condition which affects the performance of the automated vehicle during the maneuver [6, 7]. While some of them use rule-based methods [8] the others apply gap acceptance theory seeking to optimize LC maneuvers in several aspects including comfort and safety [9]. Researchers have also proposed artificial intelligence-based methods such as game theory in order to address the relationship between LC algorithm and surrounding vehicles and solve common problems in rule-based approaches [10]. Some other studies used special mathematical theories such as Markov chain [11], model-based theory [12] or feature-based inverse reinforcement learning method [13] to improve the ability of automated vehicles in LC maneuvers.

In a common category, lane change has been divided into two groups; what-is-called discretionary [14-15] and mandatory lane change. The former comes from the situation that the lane change condition exists and the Ego vehicle doesn’t need to create it as long as staying at the current lane, while the latter reflects the condition that the ego vehicle creates LC condition with acceleration or deceleration to avoid the collision, like this paper.

One of the most significant issues that automated vehicles face in complex maneuvers such as lane changing is continuous variation in traffic condition which affects the performance of the automated vehicle during the maneuver [16-17]. This condition has been described as a “Complicated Dynamic Environment”. Previous research in the field of lane change, which considered surrounding traffic conditions, have two different aspects; the first one comes from the situation that the developed strategy investigates Ego vehicle’s safety in the lane change maneuver but may bring negative benefit to the following vehicle in the target lane since the Ego vehicle may add turbulence in the traffic flow. The second one reflects a condition to investigate the influence of vehicles’ lane change on the traffic flow [18-20]. This paper focuses on the mandatory lane change and emphasizes that safety for Ego vehicle has more priority rather than its influence on the traffic flow. It means that traffic conditions’ information only will be sent to the developed structure to make a safe decision. In this way, the Ego vehicle disregards the effect of its lane change on the surrounding vehicles which may evoke a certain social dilemma structure [21, 22] where the action is beneficial to him but reduce the social efficiency as a whole. In this situation, the most important parameter for collision avoidance is the time that should be consumed to make a new decision and design an alternative trajectory to the new safe target point without any collision. This paper represents a new approach in path planning which can be performed in real-time and in complex dynamic conditions considering the behaviors of other surrounding vehicles.

In recent years, many researchers have sought to reduce the effects of human driver error in designing control systems by modeling driver behavior and examining various factors such as mental status, level of consciousness, driver delay, and age.
conditions [23]. The driver models presented in the papers include various methods such as Stochastic Switched Autoregressive Exogenous [24], the Cerebellar Model Articulation Controller (CMAC) [25], Hidden Markov Model (HMM) [26], Neural Network [27], Bayesian Network [28], Gaussian Mixture Model [29], Support Vector Machine [30], which mostly the main criteria for their evaluation is time-consuming and their main discussion is different from the main innovation of this paper. As a comprehensive research, Wang et al., present a linear time-varying model predictive control based on the human driver preferences to address a typical vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) in lane change scenario with two vehicles traveling on the contiguous lanes in the same direction. In [31], the authors focus on the driver characteristics as effective parameters on the trajectory planning, while the main focus of the presented algorithm in this paper is on investigating the influence of surrounding vehicles’ decision on the planned trajectory and re-planning. Although they presented a valuable control framework for vehicle trajectory re-planning in the lane change maneuvers, they disregarded the changes during the maneuver based on the surrounding vehicles’ decision.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2, contains a description of the proposed novel path planning method with a modified decision-making algorithm. Then, simulation of different maneuvers is presented in section 3 and compared to existing approaches. And finally in section 4 and 5, results and conclusion are presented.

2. Flexible Lane Change Method (FLC)

2.1 Lane change strategy

In general, an automated lane change system consists of three layers. Decision-making unit, as the first layer, indicates the possibility of a lane change based on the information received from sensors. The vehicle trajectory should be generated by the second layer based on the vehicle dynamic constraints and other factors such as safety and comfort. This layer is called path planning unit. Finally, the third layer is responsible to guide the vehicle through the designed trajectory by controlling the steering wheel. Figure 1 shows an illustration of different layers of this system and the one-way top-down format of signal flow between these layers. This figure also presents the system interface to other driver assistance systems.

As discussed in the state of the art, one of the main problems of earlier methods is their inability to consider the transient dynamics of the traffic. This means that as soon as the lane change maneuver begins, any changes in traffic behavior will be ignored and future ego vehicle’s position remains constant. Other methods that could partially solve this problem, suffer from two serious weaknesses; first, their inability to perform all the calculations in real-time [33], which practically stop them from being used in automotive industry, and second, their inability to find a new point in the target lane and to re-plan the vehicle trajectory if nearby vehicles’ position changes during maneuver [34-37]. The presented algorithm comprehensively covers the decision making and path planning of a fully automated lane change considering both longitudinal and lateral dynamics of the vehicle. In addition, the proposed system takes into account traffic dynamics and prepares the possibility of re-planning and also it can be appropriate for real-time application.

Figure 2. schematically demonstrates different units of the proposed automatic lane change algorithm and their connections. First, based on the information gathered from ego vehicle, traffic vehicles, and road condition, the decision-making-I unit finds out whether a safe lane change is possible and calculates the corresponding maneuver time. These data are then, transferred to path planning unit where several different lane change paths with various initial velocities, accelerations, and maneuver time are already pre-designed off-line and ready to be picked. There are series of intermediate points on the selected path that provides the ability to modify the path in case of traffic condition changes. These new re-planned paths can be shorter or longer than the initial one and might have a different acceleration. After choosing one or more paths based on the maneuver time, the path planning unit feeds these paths to decision-making-II unit where one out of these nominated paths will be selected based on the minimum lateral acceleration in order to satisfy the best passenger comfort. Finally, the control unit will guide the vehicle through the selected path.

2.2 Decision-Making-I unit

In this paper, the structure of the presented decision-making-I unit is mostly based on previous studies [38-39] with some modifications to improve the performance of the system. Despite the previous decision-making unit which could only give maneuver time at the output, the new developed decision-making unit along with path planning unit are able to calculate both end-point coordinates of lane change path and the maneuver time. Therefore, even for a unique maneuver time, the end point coordinates can be different. This engages the longitudinal dynamics of the ego vehicle in lane change maneuver and therefore, brings more flexibility and freedom; if selected lane change trajectory becomes unsafe during the maneuver due to the changes in traffic condition, the presented algorithm considers different possible new trajectories with or without acceleration to adjust target point with integrated control of gas pedal, brake pedal, and steering wheel.

![Diagram](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

Fig. 1. Schematic structure of multi-layer lane change controller [32].
In order to find the safe end point and corresponding arrival time in the target lane, more complicated traffic situations are considered in the development of the new algorithm. Both longitudinal and lateral distances to surrounding vehicles are investigated and safety factors, \( C_i \), are derived and later tuned based on human behavior through experimental track tests.

2.2.1 Longitudinal distance

Three factors are discussed here (Fig. 3.) which are considered to obtain safe longitudinal distances between ego vehicle and surrounding vehicles.

In Fig. 3., E represents the ego vehicle, A is the vehicle in front on the same lane and B and D are the vehicles in front and behind on the target lane. The influence of other surrounding vehicles is neglected. At the end of lane change maneuver when ego vehicle reaches the target lane, its distance to vehicles B and D must not be smaller than \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) respectively. At the starting point of the maneuver, the minimum distance \( C_3 \) must be considered between vehicles E and A. This value is determined in each maneuver according to the speed of the vehicle as the safety factor. These terms are calculated as follows:
In the above equations, $S_0$, $v_{Ex}$, $v_{Bx}$ and $a_{Ex}$ are the safe stopping distance, longitudinal velocity of ego vehicle and vehicle B at the end of maneuver, and the maximum deceleration of ego vehicle respectively. Furthermore, $t_d$ is driver response time which depends on several parameters such as driver physical and mental condition and will be reduced in case when automatic braking systems are used. Moreover, the maximum deceleration is determined based on different conditions such as the actual value of tire-road friction. At the specified time instant, the longitudinal and lateral position of two vehicles are governed by eqs. (4)-(5),

\[ C_i = S_i + v_{Ex}t_d + \frac{(v_{Ex} - v_{Bx})^2}{2a_{Ex}} \]  
\[ C_2 = S_0 + v_{Ex}t_d + \frac{v_{Ex}^2}{2a_{Ex}} \]  
\[ C_3 = S_0 + v_{Ex}t_d \]

**Fig. 4.** a) Collision avoidance checking criteria in the lateral direction ($C_i - C_j$). b) Illustration of lateral constraints between ego vehicle and vehicles A (up) and D (down).
\[ x_s - x_e = S_0 + v_{sE}t - \frac{v_{sE}^2}{2a_{sE}} + l_{sf} + l_{sf} \]  

(4)

\[ y_s = y_e \]  

(5)

where, \( x_s \) and \( y_s \) indicate the longitudinal and lateral positions of the center of gravity of vehicle B, respectively, and \( l_{sf} \) indicates the longitudinal distance from vehicle B’s center of gravity to the vehicle’s rear. The same, \( x_e \) and \( y_e \) show the longitudinal and lateral positions of the center of gravity of ego vehicle, and \( l_{sf} \) indicates the longitudinal distance from vehicle E's center of gravity to the vehicle’s front.

2.2.2 Lateral distance

In Fig. 4(a), the lateral distances which are crucial for collision avoidance are presented. In this figure, \( C_4 \) and \( C_5 \) represent the distance between right front corner and right rear corner of vehicle E with the left rear corner of vehicle A, respectively. Also, \( C_6 \) and \( C_7 \) show the distances between the left rear corner and left front corner of the ego vehicle and the right front corner of vehicle D. By taking a closer look at the figure, it can be realized that \( C_4 \) is a stricter constraint than \( C_5 \). That means if \( C_4 \) is satisfied, \( C_5 \) will also be true. The same situation works for the relation between \( C_6 \) and \( C_7 \). Therefore, \( C_4 \) and \( C_5 \) satisfy all different situations and hence, considered as the main constraints. A larger illustration of the vehicles’ condition in these two cases are shown in Fig. 4(b).

\( C_4 \) is calculated as follows;

\[ y_a(t) - y_e(t) = C_4 + \overline{O_M} \sin(\theta_a(t)) + \overline{O_P} \sin(\theta_e(t)) \]  

(6)

\[ \overline{O_P} = \frac{1}{2} W_e \sin(\phi) + \left| L_{sf} \right| \]  

(7)

\[ \theta_e = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{W_e}{2L_{sf}} \right) \]  

(8)

\[ \overline{O_M} = \frac{1}{2} W_e \sin(\phi) + \left( L_{sf} \right) \]  

(9)

\[ \theta_a = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{W_e}{2L_{sf}} \right) \]  

(10)

\[ \tan(\theta_a(t)) = \frac{\partial y_a(t)}{\partial x_a(t)} \frac{v_{yE}}{v_{xE}} \]  

(11)

\[ \tan(\theta_e(t)) = \frac{\partial y_e(t)}{\partial x_e(t)} \frac{v_{yE}}{v_{xE}} \]  

(12)

\[ \sin(\theta_a(t)) = \frac{v_{yE}}{\sqrt{(v_{xE})^2 + (v_{yE})^2}} \]  

(13)

\[ \cos(\theta_a(t)) = \frac{v_{xE}}{\sqrt{(v_{xE})^2 + (v_{yE})^2}} \]  

(14)

Equation (6) expresses the lateral distance between the ego vehicle and vehicle A at the moment that their longitudinal coordinates coincide. In this equation, \( y_a(t) \) and \( y_e(t) \) indicate the lateral position of the center of gravity of vehicles A and E, respectively. \( \overline{O_P} \) and \( \overline{O_M} \) are imaginary lines that connect the center of gravity of vehicles to the points P and M. \( \phi \) is the angles between \( OP \) and longitudinal axis of the ego vehicle while \( \phi_0 \) presents the angles between \( OM \) and longitudinal axis of vehicle A. In the above equations which have been used to calculate the minimum amount of \( C_4 \) to maintain safety, \( L_{sf} \) and \( L_{sf} \) represent the longitudinal distance between the gravity center of vehicle E to its front and the longitudinal distance of vehicle A’s center of gravity to its rear. \( W_e \) and \( W_A \) are the width of ego vehicle and vehicle A. The condition for longitudinal coincidence of points P and M can be stated by,

\[ X_a - \overline{OM} \cos(\theta_a - \theta_e(t)) = X_e + \overline{OP} \cos(\theta_a - \theta_e(t)) \]  

(15)

The driver of vehicle D is asked to activate the ACC system before starting the maneuver, so the behavior of vehicle D is controlled by the automatic system and the possibility of sudden velocity change is almost negligible and hence a two-second law [40] is used instead of the conservative method in eq. (2). Therefore, \( C_5 \) is calculated as follow;

\[ y_e(t) - y_a(t) = C_5 + \overline{O{Q}} \sin(\theta_a - \theta_e(t)) + \overline{O{P}} \sin(\theta_a - \theta_e(t)) \]  

(16)
In the above equations, $X_D$ and $y_D(t)$ represent the longitudinal and lateral coordinates of vehicle D where $V_{Dx}$ indicates vehicle D’s longitudinal velocity at the end of the maneuver. In addition, $O_Q$ and $O_N$ show the distances between the center of gravity of ego vehicle and Q and the center of gravity of Vehicle D to point N, respectively. $\theta_0$ is the angles between $O_Q$ and longitudinal axis of the ego vehicle while $\theta_D$ presents the angles between $O_N$ and longitudinal axis of vehicle D. Moreover, $L_{br}$ and $L_{of}$ represent the longitudinal distance between the gravity center of vehicle E to its rear and the longitudinal distance of vehicle D’s center of gravity to its front. $W_D$ is the width of vehicle D.

Applying all above equations, the presented decision-making algorithm calculates the possible maneuver time. In the end, this information will be sent to path planning unit in order to select a safe trajectory from the trajectories that have been designed off-line.

The required parameters to calculate the maneuver time based on the above equations are listed in Table 1.

### 2.2.3 Road test

To determine the parameters of the proposed algorithm based on human driver behavior, various road tests have been carried out. As shown in Fig. 5, all vehicles were equipped with data gathering and measurement equipment, GPS, radar, and vehicle to vehicle communication system. All data were recorded and synchronized at a high sampling rate and in real-time. The specifications for all of the sensors used in the tests are presented in Table 2.

In this study, the position of each vehicle at the beginning and end point of the maneuver was tracked during three different maneuver. The first maneuver uses two vehicles. The “target” vehicle travels at a constant velocity of 80 km/h by activating the ACC system. At first, the EGO vehicle maintains a distance of 70 m behind the target vehicle. Then when the maneuver starts, the EGO vehicle begins to approach the target vehicle at a constant velocity of 100 km/h. There are no other cars on the road, and the driver can perform the lane change maneuver without disturbance. The driver is instructed to make the lane change whenever he prefers and only based on his own driving style.

Three different vehicles are needed for the second maneuver. The EGO vehicle is traveling behind a target vehicle (target 1) in the same line. Both vehicles have activated the ACC system and are traveling at a constant velocity of 100 km/h in the same lane. At this moment, another target vehicle (target 2) is traveling at a speed of 120 km/h in the target lane and overtakes the EGO vehicle. The driver of the EGO vehicle is instructed to perform the lane change when Target 2 passes and as soon as he feels safe.

Finally, in the third maneuver, both target 1 and target 2 are moving in the target lane with a constant velocity of 120 km/h. The distance between these two cars is set to 50 m. The ego vehicle is traveling far in front of these two cars in the initial lane and with the speed of 100 km/h. With the beginning of the maneuver, two target vehicles approach the ego vehicle. The driver of the ego vehicle is asked to do a cut-in maneuver in the appropriate time.

![Fig. 5. Test vehicles, sensors, data gathering and equipment.](image)

### Table 1. Required parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W_A$</td>
<td>1.65 (m)</td>
<td>$WE$</td>
<td>1.65 (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$abD$</td>
<td>9.81µ (m/s²)</td>
<td>$td$</td>
<td>0.5 (s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_0$</td>
<td>2 (m)</td>
<td>$abE$</td>
<td>9.81µ (m/s²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$WD$</td>
<td>1.65 (m)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. The specifications for all of the sensors used in the tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor model</th>
<th>Vehicle</th>
<th>Sensor type</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Sampling rate</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADMA-G</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Inertial Measurement Unit (IMU)</td>
<td>GeneSys Elektronik</td>
<td>2000Hz</td>
<td>1mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVE-CAM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Camera</td>
<td>DEWETRON</td>
<td>30 fps</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEB-CAM</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Camera</td>
<td>Microsoft Commercial</td>
<td>30fps</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microphone</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Microphone</td>
<td>PCB Piezotronics</td>
<td>2000Hz</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAQ_1</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>DEWE2-M4</td>
<td>DEWETRON</td>
<td>2000Hz</td>
<td>1mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAQ_2</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>DEWE 510</td>
<td>DEWETRON</td>
<td>2000Hz</td>
<td>1mg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6(a) shows the relative lateral positions of two vehicles in relation to their relative longitudinal positions for different drivers during the first maneuver. The red oval indicates that the test participants usually maintain a distance between 35 and 55 meters to the preceding vehicle at the starting moment of the maneuver ($C_3$). In addition, at the moment when the ego vehicle reaches the target vehicle, there is a lateral distance of 3.6 to 4.2 meter between the two vehicles. Hence, we derive the value of 3.75 for the $C_4$, which is indeed the lane width.

Figure 6(b) shows the relative distance between the ego vehicle and the two target vehicles at the starting moment of the second maneuver. As is evident, the volunteer drivers keep more longitudinal distance with the vehicle in the same lane than with the vehicle in the target lane. This distance is usually in the range of 40 to 55 meters. Therefore, the amount equal to 47(m) (i.e. the average value for this range) was selected as a value for $C_1$.

Figure 6(c) shows the distance between the ego vehicle and the two target vehicles at the end of the third maneuver. The black dots show the position of the ego vehicle, the red dots show the following target vehicle position, and the green dots indicate the position of the preceding target vehicle. According to this figure, during a cut-in maneuver, drivers keep more distance from the following vehicle than from the preceding vehicle. The reason might be that the drivers believe they can more effectively manage a potential emergency situation created by the car in front than one created by the car behind. Based on the result, $C_5$ and $C_2$ were set to 3.75 and 35, respectively.
The above tests have been performed in different road conditions with different positions of the surrounding vehicles to extract the considered longitudinal and lateral distances to avoid collisions for each volunteer drivers. Then, safe time for performing the lane change maneuver has been calculated with the help of above mentioned relations. In this algorithm, the coefficient of friction is considered in a way that in the first phase of decision-making, it can be changed at each stage to determine the optimal time for each safe target point. Regarding this change, safe longitudinal and lateral distances for performing the maneuvers will also change according to the coefficient of friction. The authors have practically studied the coefficient of friction and its effects on vehicle dynamics by considering the most aggressive lane change maneuver to obtain the minimum required time. On the other hand, the same coefficient of friction (the coefficient of friction in the decision-making unit) has been considered as a specific input for the tracking control unit. Since this paper focuses on the lane change maneuver, the coefficient of friction’s estimator has been omitted. Considering the coefficient of friction means that by changing the coefficient of friction’s value, the $C_i$ values obtained by the algorithm will change but this paper does not provide any coefficient of friction’s estimator. It is assumed that the coefficient of friction in the presented maneuver is specified and the simulated maneuver in this paper is applied on dry road. By tracking the position of each vehicle at the start and end point of the maneuver and after processing the results of practical tests with different drivers and selected distances by them, $C_i$ values are adjusted based on the human driver behavior and is placed in the above relations to calculate the appropriate time.

2.3 Path planning unit

The information about the possibility of performing a lane change as well as the maneuver time is prepared by decision-making-1 unit. Path-planning unit designs several safe trajectories considering vehicle dynamics. The novelty of presented path planning unit is its ability for re-planning in the middle of lane change maneuver even in complicated traffic condition based on updated information from decision-making-1 unit.

In the proposed method, a 5th order polynomial function has been applied for trajectory planning. The differential evolution (DE) optimization method has been used to find the coefficient of the polynomial considering physical constraints of ego vehicle. The DE, as one of the most powerful and popular evolutionary algorithms, has a good performance in discontinuous, time-variant and noisy problems. In addition, the DE allows inclusion of the constraints between the parameters and performs global optimization without getting stuck in local minima [41-43].

Based on experiments, for the current problem with high calculation effort, optimization methods are very time-costly and cannot be applied for real-time purposes. In order to solve the problem, the strategy of designing the lane change is defined in such a way that the path planning unit works off-line. For that first, all possible lane change trajectories for different situations are designed. Then, all the designed paths are placed in a look-up table while a labels is assigned to each of them. Each label indicates the maneuver time. When this parameter is defined in the decision-making-1 unit, the desired corresponding path or paths will be chosen from the look-up table. In this way, the time-consuming procedure of optimizing a lane change trajectory will be transferred to a real-time process of choosing a trajectory and hence, automatic lane change can be performed in real-time. The concept structure of the path planning unit is explained in details as follows;

Based on the vehicle dynamics and road condition, there is a quickest possible lane change maneuver in which the vehicle remains stable. It is called the most aggressive lane change trajectory and has been shown in red with the end-point named $A_{critical}$ in Fig. 7(a). It is obvious that any point to the left side of $A_{critical}$ cannot be selected since it is either not accessible or cause an instability in ego vehicle. Any other point on the right side of $A_{critical}$ is feasible and theoretically can be nominated as the end-point of the lane change trajectory. As it can be seen, n different points are considered in Fig. 7(a). Three different trajectories with constant velocity ($a=0$ m/s$^2$), positive acceleration ($a=+1$ m/s$^2$), and negative acceleration ($a=-1$ m/s$^2$) will be designed between the start point and each of these end-points applying DE optimization method on a fifth order polynomial equation. Therefore, several different lane change paths with different maneuver time are in hand. In order to find the lane change path(s), it is enough to know the maneuver time.
As discussed earlier, the traffic condition might vary during the lane change maneuver causing the initial designed path not to be safe anymore. To be able to act properly to the changes, some mid-points have been considered for each of the initial paths. At each of these mid-points, the same procedure has been repeated to find out the most aggressive trajectory and other feasible trajectories on the right side of it. As a sample scenario, imagine based on the data prepared by decision-making-I unit the path which ends to A with a constant velocity fulfills the requirements (Fig. 7a). The tracking control has started to guide the ego vehicle through the desired path as shown in Fig. 7(b). A sudden change in traffic condition makes the current path unsafe. Now based on the pre-designed trajectories, the decision making unit has the possibility to choose another end-point from B_1 to B_5.

All designed trajectories are obtained from fifth-order polynomial equations. The constraints of this equation are determined according to the vehicle’s physical limitations. These constraints include: the end and beginning point of the trajectory, vehicle direction at the start and end of the trajectory, the maximum lateral acceleration and the continuity of re-planned trajectories with the previous trajectory. After determining the constraints of the problem, all the optimal safe trajectories are obtained using DE optimization algorithm. One of the important constraints of this equation is the maximum lateral acceleration limitation, which ensures the feasibility of the trajectories. In fact, all the provided trajectories for the control unit are feasible in terms of vehicle dynamics and satisfy the physical limitations of the problem.

The number of end-points and space between them as well as the number of mid-points considered on each initial path is adjustable. As the number of end-points and mid-points increases, the algorithm becomes more flexible and reliable. In this way the conventional format of one-way top-down signal flow between layers of the automatic lane change system has been changed. In addition, this discretization of a continuous space enables the algorithm to works in real-time.

2.4 Decision-Making-II unit

After the definition of the safe target point in decision-making-I unit, all possible lane change paths with different maneuver time and acceleration which were previously designed off-line and exist in the path planning unit will be delivered to decision-making-II. This unit is able to pick one of these nominated paths as the desired lane change trajectory based on different parameters such as passenger comfort, fuel consumption, and safety. In this paper, to select the best trajectory between acceptable ones (target point and arrival time determined by path planning and decision-making-I units as the acceptable trajectories factors), minimum lateral acceleration is used as decision factor.

3. Simulation

3.1 Preparing the look-up table

In this section, required maneuvers for preparing the path planning unit and turning it into a look-up table are shown. In this paper, six more points with the distance interval of 10 (m) are considered to the right side of the most aggressive trajectory. In addition, three different trajectories with constant velocity (a=0 m/s²), positive acceleration (a=+1 m/s²), and negative acceleration (a=-1 m/s²) are designed between the start point and each of these end-points applying DE optimization method on a fifth-order polynomial equation. For a better representation, the results of a sample scenario are explained here as follows: In this simulated driving scenario in a highway with the velocity of 90 (km/h) and based on the ego vehicle dynamics and road friction, the most aggressive lane change took around 2.98 (s) and during this time the ego vehicle took 70 (m) in the longitudinal direction (see Fig. 8(a)). For a clearer presentation, only the trajectories with the acceleration equal to -1(m/s²) to all other points are demonstrated in this figure. For each presented path, there were some mid-points where the same procedure would be repeated to find out the most aggressive trajectory and other feasible trajectories on the right side of it as the re-planning paths. To clarify the design of re-planned trajectories, the initial path in Fig. 8(a) which had a maneuver time equal to 4.87 (s) and a longitudinal distance equal to 110 (m) was chosen as a sample and the re-planned paths which were originated from the first mid-point located on this trajectory were demonstrated in Fig. 8(b). This process was repeated until all possible initial paths and re-planned paths with different initial condition and road friction were designed to form the look-up table.
3.2 Scenario design

In this section, a maneuver in a highly dynamic condition has been presented in order to evaluate the performance of the proposed method. This sample scenario simulates a critical situation within which the automatic lane change system has to redesign the desired path two times. In addition, the velocity of ego vehicle has to change during the maneuver. Hence, this scenario is suitable to evaluate the performance of the system.

The ego vehicle (E) is surrounded by three traffic vehicles. Vehicle A is located in front of ego vehicle in the same lane and vehicles B and D are located in front and behind the ego vehicle in the target lane, respectively. Ego vehicle has a constant velocity equal to 90 (km/h) and its longitudinal distance at the beginning of the maneuver with vehicles A, B, and D is 50, 170 and 60 meters, respectively. The automatic lane change system is asked to perform a lane change maneuver. After 1.4 (s) from the beginning of the lane change maneuver, vehicle B brakes down with an acceleration equal to a_B1 = -0.7 (m/s^2) due to changes in the traffic flow. After 0.9 (s) of driving based on the new condition, vehicle B again decelerates and achieves an acceleration equal to a_B2 = -1.1 and makes the previous lane change end point unsafe. The automatic lane change system is expected to show proper reactions to these changes in the traffic environment.

![Lane Change maneuver with different target points and time - ax=1 (m/s^2)](image)

(a)

![Lane Change maneuver with re-planning to the different target points and time in t=1.4](image)

(b)

Fig. 8. a) Possible trajectories for LC maneuver with different target point. b) Possible trajectories for re-planning in LC maneuver.

![Surrounding vehicles' positions in: a) The first section of maneuver. b) The second section of maneuver. c) The third section of maneuver.](image)

Fig. 9. Surrounding vehicles’ positions in: a) The first section of maneuver. b) The second section of maneuver. c) The third section of maneuver.
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3.3 Scenario implementation

Although, major researches implement a linear dynamic model [44-46], in this paper IPG CarMaker as one of the best platforms for simulating vehicle dynamics and control has been applied to implement the maneuvers. Figure 9 presents an illustration of surrounding vehicles positions to prove the presented method real-time ability in three parts of maneuver. The high performance of IPG CarMaker in implementing driving scenarios for both off-line and real-time maneuver has been proved [47-48]. IPG CarMaker provides the parametric model with a variety of subsystems such as powertrain, braking, suspension and steering systems with several tire and driver models which can be determined for every special test scenario. In addition, the connection between IPG CarMaker and MATLAB/SIMULINK along with C-language codes capabilities are the main reasons for choosing this dynamic simulation software in this paper.

4. Results and discussion

The designed scenario described in section 3.2, is implemented and the results are presented in this section. Based on the initial information gathered, decision-making units together with path planning unit consider a lane change trajectory which has the specifications shown in Fig. 10(a) (dash line). As it can be seen, this maneuver will take 6.43 (s) and within this time the ego vehicle will take 140 (m) in longitudinal direction with a constant acceleration equal to -1 (m/s²). This trajectory is chosen between all other possible trajectories because it prepares better passenger comfort (lower lateral acceleration) in comparison to other trajectories available in path planning unit.

After 1.4 (s) from the beginning of the lane change maneuver and when vehicle B brakes down, the decision-making-I unit realizes that point p1 (end point in the selected trajectory) is not safe anymore and choose a new maneuver time equal to 5 (s). This new information is sent to the path planning unit and the decision-making-II unit picks up the re-planned trajectory as presented in Fig. 10(a) (dash-dot line). As it can be seen, the new trajectory’s end point (q1) is located 20 (m) behind p1. The ego vehicle must take this re-planned trajectory with a constant velocity.

After 0.9 (s) of driving on the re-planned trajectory, vehicle B again slows down and makes q1 an unsafe target point. The process of finding another maneuver time and then selecting a new desired path is repeated and finally, the trajectory is shown in solid line in Fig. 10(a) is elected for the rest of the lane change maneuver. As can be seen, the point m1 has been chosen as the final target point, and ego vehicle must take this path with a constant acceleration equal to +1 (m/s²).

In order to close the loop and track the behavior of the ego vehicle, simple PID controllers are added to the model which control the steering angle, gas, and brake pedals. Figure 10(b) illustrates the real path taken by ego vehicle and compares it with the desired lane change trajectory designed by the proposed automatic lane change system. Important dynamic parameters of the ego vehicle during the lane change maneuver have been demonstrated in Fig. 11.
Fig. 11. a) Lateral acceleration in lane change maneuver. b) Yaw rate in lane change maneuver. c) Steering angle in lane change maneuver.

As it can be seen, the proposed method was able to successfully design the initial path and react appropriately to the changes in traffic condition. It was able to find a solution for two times intensive re-planning and made the total longitudinal distance 40 \( m \) and maneuver time 34 (s) shorter and yet design a feasible trajectory based on the vehicle dynamics.

As shown in Fig. 10, the ego vehicle was able to follow the final trajectory, which involves two changes in maneuver time and target point position. Figure 11 presents acceptable dynamic behavior of the ego vehicle and prove its stability during the lane change maneuver.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, a novel automatic lane change algorithm was presented to design flexible lane change trajectories in a complicated dynamic environment. This method had the ability to design and redesign paths during a lane change maneuver with integrated control of acceleration and steer angle.

In the first layer, the decision-making-1 unit applies the vehicle, traffic, and road information to find a proper maneuver time and feed it to the path planning unit. In the second layer which is called path planning unit, several optimized trajectories are pre-designed off-line and ready to be picked. This unit nominates one or more trajectories based on the defined maneuver time. In the third layer, the decision-making-2 unit receives these paths and select one of them which produces the minimum lateral acceleration during the maneuver in order to satisfy passenger comfort. The final selected path will be later delivered to the control unit to guide the vehicle through this path.

The advantages and innovations of the proposed method include (1) taking into account the dynamic of traffic environment, (2) considering road friction, (3) providing real-time performance, and (4) re-planning ability during the maneuver. Hence, in case of any changes in the traffic environment even in the middle of the lane change maneuver, the algorithm is able to react properly and re-design new trajectories to continue the lane change safety.

In the development of the proposed algorithm, some restrictions were imposed, as mentioned below, which the authors would like to suggest for future studies;

- Road curvatures and slope have been excluded.
- If lane change is not possible, the algorithm does not produce desired paths to bring the vehicle back to the initial lane.
• A nonlinear controller such as sliding mode or fuzzy controller can increase the tracking ability.
• Providing predictive algorithms to predict future movements of the surrounding vehicles and using them to determine safe target points, along with the presented algorithm in this paper, can provide a comprehensive decision-making algorithm to determine time and safe points at all moments of the maneuver.

A comprehensive simulation approach to evaluate the performance of the system in various traffic situations is a crucial outlook for the study.
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