

Journal of

Applied and Computational Mechanics



Research Paper

New Variational Principles for Two Kinds of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equation in Shallow Water

Xiao-Qun Cao^{1,2}, Meng-Ge Zhou¹, Si-Hang Xie¹, Ya-Nan Guo^{1,2}, Ke-Cheng Peng^{1,2}

¹ College of Meteorology and Oceanography, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, China, Email: caoxiaoqun@nudt.edu.cn (X.C.); zhoumengge23@nudt.edu.cn (M.Z.); xiesihang18@nudt.edu.cn (S.X.); guoyanan@nudt.edu.cn (Y.G.); pengkch15@lzu.edu.cn (K.P.)

² College of Computer, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, China

Received August 12 2023; Revised January 25 2024; Accepted for publication January 26 2024. Corresponding author: Xiao-Qun Cao (caoxiaoqun@nudt.edu.cn)
© 2024 Published by Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz

Abstract. Variational principles are very important for a lot of nonlinear problems to be analyzed theoretically or solved numerically. By the popular semi-inverse method and designing trial-Lagrange functionals skillfully, new variational principles are constructed successfully for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation and the Coupled KdV equations, respectively, which can model a lot of nonlinear waves in shallow water. The established variational principles are also proved correct. The procedure reveals that the used technologies are very powerful and applicable, and can be extended to other nonlinear physical and mathematical models.

Keywords: Variational principle, Calculus of variations, Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation, Coupled KdV equations.

1. Introduction

Nonlinear partial differential equations (PDE) are usually used to model different phenomena, ranging from mechanics to biology, physics, chemistry, ocean and meteorology so on [1-6]. And many mathematical methods have been proposed and developed to get solutions [5-29] of nonlinear PDEs. When contrasted with other approximate analytical methods, variational methods such as Ritz technique [12] and variational iteration method [13-17] show a lot of advantages. Because variational principles are the theoretical basis for many kinds of variational methods, it is a very important task to seek explicit variational formulations for nonlinear and complex PDEs.

In the past decades, various numerical methods have been introduced to tackle the challenges of solving the nonlinear shallow water equations. Durgun et al. [13] addressed the time-fractional non-linear partial differential equations with proportional delays using the Fractional Variational Iteration Method, incorporating the modified Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative. The numerical solutions derived through this method demonstrate superior accuracy compared to those obtained via the Homotopy Perturbation Method and Differential Transform Method, when applied to the same data set and approximation order. The Variational Iteration Method (VIM), which was introduced by He in 1999 [14], has been extensively utilized by numerous researchers for developing approximate solutions to a broad range of scientific and engineering models [15, 16]. The foundational techniques employed by a majority of researchers are grid-based approaches, like the finite difference method (FDM) [18]. Following certain adaptations, the Fractional Variational Iteration Method (FVIM) has been employed in the study of fractional differential equations by He and other researchers [19]. He [19] contrasted the traditional Variational Iteration Method with the Fractional Variational Iteration Method. They introduced the fractional complex transform as a technique to transform a fractional differential equation into its corresponding differential form, thereby facilitating the straightforward construction of its variational iteration algorithm. In recent years, many scholars have shifted their focus towards employing the recently introduced meshless methods to solve the nonlinear shallow water equations, such as natural element method [20], smoothed particle hydrodynamics [21] and etc. From the results of these numerical simulations, it is evident that the method boasts broad applicability, commendable accuracy, and stability. However, its application to the study of nonlinear shock waves with discontinuous issues remains unexplored. Recently, many scientists have made great success for constructing different kinds of variational principles in various fields such as fluid dynamics, ocean, meteorology, mathematical biology, solid state physics, and plasma physics [24-37]. In this paper, we will use the semi-inverse method [24-30] to establish new variational principles for the Kuramoto-Sivashinský equation and the Coupled KdV equations, respectively, which contains various solitary waves [28, 29].

2. Variational Principle for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation

The Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equation (also called the KS equation) is a fourth-order nonlinear partial differential equation. It was derived by Yoshiki Kuramoto [38-39] and Gregory Sivashinsky [40] as a model for phase turbulence in reaction–diffusion



systems and to model the diffusive–thermal instabilities in a laminar flame front. The Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equation is known for its chaotic behavior [41-42]. The KS equation is given as follows:

$$u_t + uu_x + \mu(t)u_{xx} + \lambda(t)u_{xxxx} = 0$$
 (1)

where $\mu(t)$ and $\lambda(t)$ are time-varying coefficients. Equation (1) can be rewritten in the following equivalent form:

$$u_{\rm t} + (\frac{u^2}{2} + \mu u_{\rm x} + \lambda u_{\rm xox})_{\rm x} = 0$$
 (2)

A potential function Φ can be introduced, defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{x} = \mathbf{u} \\ \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{t} = -(\frac{\mathbf{u}^{2}}{2} + \mu \mathbf{u}_{x} + \lambda \mathbf{u}_{xxx}) \end{cases}$$
 (3)

If Eqs. (3) are adopted, the nonlinear partial differential equation (2) will be automatically satisfied. The objective of this section is to establish a new variational formula, whose Euler-Lagrange equations satisfy Eqs. (2) and (3). To achieve this goal, the semi-inverse method proposed by He [12-18] will be employed here to construct the generalized variational formula for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation (1) as:

$$J(u, \Phi) = \iint L(u, u_x, u_{xxx}, \Phi_t, \Phi_x) dx dt$$
(4)

where L in Eq. (4) is the trial-Lagrange functional, and its specific form is tentatively defined as follows:

$$L = u\boldsymbol{\Phi}_t + (\frac{u^2}{2} + \mu u_x + \lambda u_{xxx})\boldsymbol{\Phi}_x + F$$
 (5)

Function F in Eq. (5) is an undetermined function that depends solely on u and its derivatives, independent of Φ . There are various choices available to construct the trial-Lagrange functional, and relevant examples can be found in [12-20]. The trial-Lagrange functional represented by Eq. (5) possesses an advantage. By considering its critical condition with respect to Φ ,

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Phi}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{x}}} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{t}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathbf{t}}} \right) = 0 \tag{6}$$

Equation (1) or Eq. (2) can be automatically derived. Now, considering the critical condition of the L with respect to u, we can obtain:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial u_{xxx}} \right) + \frac{\delta F}{\delta u} = 0 \tag{7}$$

In Eq. (7), where $\delta F / \delta u$ is called He's variational derivative [12-18] of F with respect to u. Substituting the trial-Lagrange function Eq. (5) into Eq. (7), we obtain:

$$\Phi_{t} + u\Phi_{x} - \mu\Phi_{xx} - \lambda\Phi_{xxx} + \frac{\delta F}{\delta u} = 0$$
(8)

The purpose of constructing such an undetermined function F is to make Eq. (8) equivalent to the field equations (3). Accordingly, substituting the field equations (3) into Eq. (8), we get: $\delta F / \delta u = -u^2 / 2 + 2\mu u_x + 2\lambda u_{xxx}$. Since we cannot identify F successfully, it indicates that the current trial-Lagrange functional L is not appropriate, so we have to modify the trial-Lagrange function. The modified L is represented as follows:

$$L = Au \mathcal{O}_t + B \mathcal{O}_x \mathcal{O}_t + \left(\frac{u^2}{2} + \mu u_x + \lambda u_{xxx}\right) \mathcal{O}_x + F$$
(9)

Then, we calculate the variational operations with respect to Φ and u on Eq. (9), respectively:

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \Phi}: -(A+2B)u_t - uu_x - \mu u_{xx} - \lambda u_{xxxx} + \frac{\delta F}{\delta \Phi} = 0$$
 (10)

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta u}: \quad A \Phi_t + u \Phi_x - \mu \Phi_{xx} - \lambda \Phi_{xxxx} + \frac{\delta F}{\delta u} = 0$$
 (11)

In view of Eq. (10) and since $\delta F/\delta \Phi=0$, Eq. (10) can be transformed into:

$$-(A+2B)u_t - uu_x - \mu u_{xx} - \lambda u_{xxxx} = 0$$
(12)

Because Eq. (12) should be identical to Eq. (1). That is:

$$A + 2B = 1 \tag{13}$$

After substituting Eqs. (3) into Eq. (11) and rearranging them, we obtain:

$$(A+1)(\mu u_{x} + \lambda u_{xxx}) + (\frac{A}{2} - 1)u^{2} - \frac{\delta F}{\delta u} = 0$$
 (14)



In order to identify the unknown function F successfully, it is necessary to eliminate the terms with u_x and u_{xxx} in Eq. (14), setting the coefficients of u_x and u_{xxx} to zero, thereby determining the undetermined coefficient A=-1. Furthermore, from Eq. (13), it can be further determined that B=1. Substituting the value of A into Eq. (14), we obtain:

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta u} = -\frac{3}{2}u^2 \tag{15}$$

As a result, F can be identified successfully as:

$$F = -\frac{u^3}{2} \tag{16}$$

Substituting the expression of F from Eq. (16) into Eq. (9) and then using Eq. (9) into Eq. (4), the new variational principle for the one-dimensional Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (1) is obtained, which read:

$$J(u, \Phi) = \iint \{\Phi_x \Phi_t - u\Phi_t + [u^2/2 + \mu(t)u_x + \lambda(t)u_{xxx}]\Phi_x - u^3/2\} dx dt$$
 (17)

The variational principle in Eq. (17) is subject to the constraint $\Phi_x = u$. We will verify the correctness of the obtained generalized variational principle for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation. By taking the first-order variation of the functional, Eq. (17), with respect to Φ and u, and applying the stationary condition, we can obtain the two equations (18) and (19):

$$\delta \Phi: u_{t} - (u^{2}/2 + \mu u_{x} + \lambda u_{xxx})_{x} - 2\Phi_{xt} = 0$$
 (18)

$$\delta u: -\Phi_t + u\Phi_x - \mu\Phi_{xx} - \lambda\Phi_{xxx} - \frac{3}{2}u^2 = 0$$
(19)

Equations (18) and (19), also called as the Euler-Lagrange equations, are derived from the functional Eq. (17). Substituting $\Phi_x = u$ into Eq. (18) leads to the original Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Eq. (1), obviously. Additionally, by substituting $\Phi_x = u$ into Eq. (19), we can get that: $-\Phi_t - \mu u_x - \lambda u_{xxx} - u^2 / 2 = 0$, which is identical to the second relation of equations (3). This confirms that the Euler-Lagrange equations (18) and (19) obtained from the new variational principle formula (17) are equivalent to the second equation in the field equations (3) and also equivalent to Eq. (1). Hence, we proved the obtained generalized variational principle for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation (17) is correct.

3. Variational Principles for the Coupled KdV Equations

The coupled KdV equation is a system of two nonlinear partial differential equations that describes the evolution of two interacting waves. It was first introduced by Hirota and Satsuma [44]. Since its inception, the equation has been studied extensively by mathematicians and physicists, researchers continue to explore the coupled KdV equation's dynamics and implications, making it an essential tool for understanding the behavior of nonlinear waves in various physical contexts [44, 45]. The Coupled KdV equations are given as following:

$$\begin{cases} u_{t} + (u_{xx} + u^{2} + \frac{k}{2}v^{2} + kuv)_{x} = 0 \\ v_{t} + (v_{xx} + v^{2} + \frac{k}{2}u^{2} + kuv)_{x} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(20)

For convenience, we temporarily consider the case when k = 2. Then, the above coupled equations (20) becomes:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (u_{xx} + u^2 + v^2 + 2uv)_x = 0 \\ v_t + (v_{yy} + v^2 + u^2 + 2uv)_y = 0 \end{cases}$$
 (21)

Equations (20) and (21) can be derived through symmetry constraints from the KP equation and have been applied in various technological fields such as statistical physics, plasma physics, and nonlinear fiber optics communication. Its Painlevé properties and infinite number of symmetries with respect to either the time variable t or the spatial variable y have also been studied. Two potential functions, ϕ and Π , can be introduced as:

$$\begin{cases} \Phi_x = u \\ \Phi_t = -(u_{xx} + u^2 + v^2 + 2uv) \end{cases}$$
 (22)

$$\begin{cases} \Pi_{x} = \upsilon \\ \Pi_{t} = -(\upsilon_{xx} + \upsilon^{2} + u^{2} + 2u\upsilon) \end{cases} \tag{23}$$

If we firstly adopt equations (22), then the second relation in equations (20) and (21) is automatically satisfied. The objective of this section is to establish a generalized variational formula, whose Euler-Lagrange equations satisfy the first relation in Eqs. (21) and (22). To achieve this goal, the semi-inverse method, proposed by He [24-27], will be employed here to construct the generalized variational formula for the coupled KdV Eq. (21) as:

$$J(u,v,\Phi) = \iiint L dx dy dt$$
 (24)

where L is the trial-Lagrange functional, defined by the following formula:

$$L = v\Phi_{t} + (v_{xx} + v^{2} + u^{2} + 2uv)\Phi_{x} + F(u,v)$$
(25)



and F in Eq. (25) is the unknown function of u, v, and their derivatives. There are various choices available to construct the trial-Lagrange functional, and relevant examples can be found in references [12-20]. The advantage of the trial-Lagrange functional represented by Eq. (25) is its critical condition with respect to Φ :

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{i}} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{x}} \right) + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \boldsymbol{\varphi}_{xx}} \right) = 0$$
 (26)

The first one in equations (21) can be derived. Now, considering the critical conditions of L with respect to both u and v, and taking into account the presence of derivatives of u and v in L, we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial u} + \frac{\delta \mathbf{F}}{\delta u} = \mathbf{0} \tag{27}$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial v} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial v_{xx}} \right) + \frac{\delta F}{\delta v} = 0 \tag{28}$$

where $\delta F/\delta u$ and $\delta F/\delta v$ are referred to as the He's variational derivatives [24-27, 32, 33] of F with respect to u and v, respectively, and they are defined as follows:

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta u} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_t} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{xx}} + \cdots,$$

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta \upsilon} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \upsilon} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \upsilon_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \upsilon_t} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \upsilon_{xx}} + \cdots.$$

After substituting Eq. (25) into Eqs. (27) and (28), and going through the derivation, we obtain:

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta v}: \quad \mathbf{\Phi}_{t} + \mathbf{\Phi}_{xxx} + 2v\mathbf{\Phi}_{x} + 2u\mathbf{\Phi}_{x} + \frac{\delta F}{\delta v} = 0$$
 (29)

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta u}: \quad 2u\Phi_x + 2v\Phi_x + \frac{\delta F}{\delta u} = 0 \tag{30}$$

The purpose of constructing the unknown function F is to make Eqs. (29) and (30) equivalent to the field equations in Eqs. (23). Therefore, we substitute the first and second in Eqs. (22) into Eqs. (29) and (30), respectively, resulting in:

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta u} = -2u^2 - 2uv \tag{31}$$

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta v} = -u^2 + v^2 \tag{32}$$

As a result, the expression for the unknown function F is obtained:

$$F = -u^2v + \frac{v^3}{3} - \frac{2u^3}{3} \tag{33}$$

By substituting Eq. (33) into Eq. (25), we obtain the new trial-Lagrange functional as:

$$L = v\Phi_{r} + (v_{yy} + v^{2} + u^{2} + 2uv)\Phi_{y} - (2u^{3} + 3u^{2}v - v^{3})/3$$
(34)

By substituting Eq. (34) into Eq. (26) and then using Eq. (26) in Eq. (25), we obtain the variational principle for the coupled KdV equations:

$$J(u,v,\Phi) = \iint [v\Phi_t + (v_{xx} + v^2 + u^2 + 2uv)\Phi_x - (2u^3 + 3u^2v - v^3)/3]dxdt$$
(35)

Below, we will verify the correctness of the obtained variational principle (35) for the coupled KdV nonlinear equations by taking the stationary conditions of the functional Eq. (35) with respect to Φ , u, and v, respectively. This process will lead to the following Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\begin{cases} \delta \boldsymbol{\Phi} : & -v_{t} - (v_{xx} + v^{2} + u^{2} + 2uv)_{x} = 0\\ \delta u : & (2u + 2v)\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{x} - (2u^{2} + 2uv) = 0\\ \delta v : & \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{t} + \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{xxx} + (2u + 2v)\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{x} - u^{2} + v^{2} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(36)

In Eqs. (36), $\delta \Phi$, δu , and δv represent the first-order variation of Φ , u, and v, respectively. The first one in Eq. (36) is identical to the first one in Eq. (20), Eq. (21) obviously. From the first one in Eq. (22), we get $\Phi_x = u$, and by substituting $\Phi_t + u_{xx} + u^2 + 2uv + v^2 = 0$, we obtain $\Phi_t = -(u_{xx} + u^2 + v^2 + 2uv)$. This shows that the third one in Eq. (36) is identical to the second one in Eq. (22). Hence, it is demonstrated that the obtained generalized variational principle for the coupled KdV equations is correct.

If we introduce the special function Π from Eqs. (23), then the new trial-Lagrange functional L_1 is defined as follows:

$$L_1 = u\Pi_t + (u_{xx} + u^2 + v^2 + 2uv)\Pi_x + G(u, v)$$
(37)



The function G is an unknown function of u, v, and their derivatives. Various choices exist for constructing the trial-Lagrange functional, and relevant examples can be found in references [12-20]. The advantage of the trial-Lagrange functional represented by Eq. (37) is its critical condition with respect to Π :

$$\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \Pi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \Pi_x} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \Pi_t} \right) = 0 \tag{38}$$

The second one in Eqs. (21) can be derived. Now, considering the critical conditions of L_1 with respect to both u and v, we get:

$$\frac{\delta L_1}{\delta u}: \quad \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left(\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial u_{xx}}\right) + \frac{\delta G}{\delta u} = 0 \tag{39}$$

$$\frac{\delta L_1}{\delta u}: \quad \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial v} + \frac{\delta G}{\delta v} = 0 \tag{40}$$

where $\delta G/\delta u$ and $\delta G/\delta v$ are referred to as the He's variational derivatives [24-27, 32, 33] of G with respect to u and v, respectively, and they are defined as follows:

$$\frac{\delta G}{\delta u} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial u} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial G}{\partial u_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial G}{\partial u_t} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial u_{xx}} + \cdots,$$

$$\frac{\delta G}{\delta \upsilon} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial \upsilon} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \upsilon_x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \upsilon_t} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial \upsilon_{xx}} + \cdots.$$

From Eqs. (37), (39) and (40), we obtain:

$$\Pi_t + 2(u+v)\Pi_x + \Pi_{xxx} + \frac{\delta G}{\delta u} = 0$$
(41)

$$2v(u+v) + \frac{\delta G}{\delta v} = 0 \tag{42}$$

The purpose of constructing the unknow function G is to make Eqs. (41) and (42) equivalent to the field Eqs. (23). Therefore, we substitute Eq. (23) into Eqs. (41) and (42), resulting in:

$$\frac{\delta G}{\delta u} = u^2 - v^2 \tag{43}$$

$$\frac{\delta G}{\delta v} = -2v(u+v) \tag{44}$$

Hence, we obtain:

$$G = (u^3 - 3uv^2 - 2v^3)/3 \tag{45}$$

By substituting Eq. (45) into Eq. (38) and then using Eq. (38) in Eq. (37), we obtain the variational principle for the coupled KdV nonlinear equations:

$$J(u,v,\Pi) = \iint [u\Pi_t + (u_{xx} + u^2 + v^2 + 2uv)\Pi_x + (u^3 - 3uv^2 - 2v^3)/3]dxdt$$
 (46)

If we take the first variations of the functional Eq. (46) with respect to Π , u, and v, respectively, and apply the stationary condition, we obtain the following form of the Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\begin{cases} \delta\Pi: & -u_{t} - (u_{xx} + u^{2} + v^{2} + 2uv)_{x} = 0\\ \delta u: & \Pi_{t} + 2(u + v)\Pi_{x} + \Pi_{xxx} + u^{2} - v^{2} = 0\\ \delta v: & 2\Pi_{x}(u + v) - 2v(u + v) = 0 \end{cases} \tag{47}$$

From the third one in the above equations, we get: $\Pi_x = v$, and by substituting it into the second one in Eq. (47), we obtain: $\Pi_t = -(v_{xx} + v^2 + u^2 + 2uv)$. Thus, it is verified that the obtained Euler-Lagrange equations are equivalent to the field equations represented by Eqs. (22) and the second one in Eqs. (23). In conclusion, in this section, we have used He's semi-inverse method [24-27, 32, 33] to derive the generalized variational principle for the coupled KdV nonlinear equation (46). Furthermore, through the consistency between the Euler-Lagrange equations derived from the functional equations and the original equations, the correctness of the obtained generalized variational principle has been demonstrated.

4. Conclusion

In the second and third parts of this paper, new variational principles have been successfully constructed for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation and the coupled KdV equations, respectively, by the semi-inverse method [24-30] and designing trial-Lagrange functionals skillfully. Moreover, the obtained variational principles have proved to be correct by minimizing the corresponding functionals. From the above analysis, it is concluded that the variational principle for the coupled KdV equations studied in this paper have different integral formulations, from which the same nonlinear PDEs can be derived. The procedure



also reveals that the semi-inverse method [24-30] is effective and powerful. According to the obtained variational principles, we can study possible solution structures for solitary waves. Furthermore, new variational principles can also provide hints for numerical algorithms, equations (1) and (21) can be solved numerically by variational-based methods. Our future work will focus on the dynamics of soliton in the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation and the coupled KdV equations, by variational approximation method and choosing an appropriate variational principle established in this paper.

Author Contributions

All authors have significant contributions in this paper. The details are as following. Conceptualization, Xiao-Qun Cao; Methodology, Xiao-Qun Cao, Meng-Ge Zhou and Ke-Cheng Peng; Writing – original draft, Xiao-Qun Cao and Meng-Ge Zhou; Writing - review & editing, Meng-Ge Zhou, Ya-Nan Guo and Si-Hang Xie. The manuscript was written through the contribution of all authors. All authors discussed the results, reviewed, and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Not applicable.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and publication of this article.

Funding

This work is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No.2018YFC1506704) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.41475094).

Data Availability Statements

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

References

- [1] Gu, C.H., Soliton Theory and Its Application, Zhejiang Science and Technology Publishing House, Hangzhou, China, 1990.
- [2] Ablowitz, M.J., Clarkson, P.A., Solitons, Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Inverse Scatting, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1991.
- [3] Cao, X.Q., Guo, Y.N., Zhang, C.Z., Hou, S.C., Peng, K.C., Different Groups of Variational Principles for Whitham-Broer-Kaup Equations in Shallow Water, Journal of Applied and Computational Mechanics, 6, 2020, 1178-1183.
- [4] He, J.H., Jiao, M.L., Gepreel, K.A., Khan, Y., Homotopy perturbation method for strongly nonlinear oscillators, Mathematics and Computers in Simulation, 204, 2023, 243-258.
- [5] He, J.H., Amer, T.S., El Kafly, H.F., Galal, A.A., Modelling of the rotational motion of 6-DOF rigid body according to the Bobylev-Steklov conditions, Results in Physics, 35, 2022, 105391.
- [6] Liu, S.K., Fu, Z.T., Expansion method about the Jacobi elliptic function and its applications to nonlinear wave equations, Acta Physica Sinica, 50, 2001, 2068-2073.
- [7] Wang, K.L., Exact travelling wave solution for the local fractional Camassa-Holm-Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation, Alexandria Engineering Journal, 63, 2023, 371-376.
- [8] Wang, K.J., Generalized variational principles and new abundant wave structures of the fractal coupled Boussinesq equation, Fractals, 30(7), 2022,
- [9] He, J.H., Exp-function method for fractional differential equations, International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation, 14, 2013, 363-
- [10] He, J.H., Some asymptotic methods for strongly nonlinear equations, International Journal of Modern Physics B, 20, 2006, 1141-1199.
- [11] Guner, O., Bekir, A., Exp-function method for nonlinear fractional differential equations, Nonlinear Science Letters A, 8, 2017, 41-49.
- [12] Wu, Y., Variational approach to higher-order water-wave equations, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 32, 2007, 195-203.
 [13] Durgun, D.D., Fractional variational iteration method for time-fractional nonlinear functional partial differential equation having proportional
- delays, Thermal Science, 22, 2018, S33-S46.
 [14] He, J.H., Variational iteration method—a kind of non-linear analytical technique: some examples, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics, 4(34), 1999, 699–708.
- [15] Noor, M.A., Mohyud-Din, S.T., Variational iteration method for solving higher-order nonlinear boundary value problems using He's polynomials, International Journal of Nonlinear Sciences and Numerical Simulation, 9, 2008, 141–156, 2008.
- [16] Momani, S., Abuasad, S., Application of He's variational iteration method to Helmholtz equation, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 5(27), 2006, 1119–1123. [17] Cao, X.Q., Peng, K.C., Liu, M.Z., Zhang, C.Z., Guo, Y.N., Variational Principles for Two Compound Nonlinear Equations with Variable Coefficients, Journal of Applied and Computational Mechanics, 7(2), 2021, 415-421. [18] Khakimzyanov, G.S., Fedotova, Z.I., Gusev, O.I., Shokina, N.Y., Finite difference methods for 2D shallow water equations with dispersion, Russian
- Journal of Numerical Analysis and Mathematical Modelling, 34(2), 2019, 105-117.
- [19] He, J.H., A short remark on fractional variational iteration method, Physics Letters A, 38(375), 2011, 3362-3364.
- [20] Mohammad, Z., Omid, G., Using natural element mesh-free numerical method in solving shallow water equations, European Journal of Environmental and Civil Engineering, 21(6), 2017, 753-767.
 [21] Kao, H.M., Chang, T.J., Numerical modeling of dam break-induced flood and inundation using smoothed particle hydrodynamics, Journal of
- Hydrology, 448-449, 2012, 232-244.
- [22] Baleanu, D., A modified fractional variational iteration method for solving nonlinear gas dynamic and coupled KdV equations involving local fractional operator, Thermal Science, 22, 2018, S165-S175.
- [23] He, J.H., Taylor series solution for a third order boundary value problem arising in architectural engineering, Ain Shams Engineering Journal, 11(4), 2020, 1411-1414. [24] Chong, C., Pelinovsky, D.E., Variational approximations of bifurcations of asymmetric solitons in cubic-quintic nonlinear schrödinger lattices,
- Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, 4, 2011, 1019-1031. [25] Chong, C., Pelinovsky, D.E., Schneider, G., On the validity of the variational approximation in discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Physica
- D: Nonlinear Phenomena, 241, 2011, 115-124. [26] Putri, N.Z., Asfa, A.R., Fitri, A., Bakri, I., Syafwan, M., Variational approximations for intersite soliton in a cubic-quintic discrete nonlinear
- Schrödinger equation, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1317, 2019, 012015.
- [27] He, J.H., A modified Li-He's variational principle for plasma, International Journal of Numerical Methods for Heat & Fluid Flow, 31(5), 2021, 1369-1372.
- [28] Liu, M.Z., Zhu, X.Q., Cao, X.Q., Liu, B.N., Peng, K.C., Internal solitary waves in the ocean by semi-inverse variational principle, Thermal Science, 26, 2022, 2517-2525.



- [29] Cao, X.Q., Zhang, C.Z., Hou, S.C., Guo, Y.N., Peng, K.C., Variational theory for (2+1)-dimensional fractional dispersive long wave equations, Thermal Science, 25, 2021, 1277-1285
- [30] Cao, X.Q., Variational principles for two kinds of extended Korteweg-de Vries equations, Chinese Physics B, 20, 2011, 94-102.
- [31] Cao, X.Q., Generalized variational principles for Boussinesq equation systems, Acta Physica Sinica, 60, 2011, 105-113.
- [32] He, J.H., Sun, C., A variational principle for a thin film equation, Journal of Mathematical Chemistry, 57, 2019, 2075-2081.
- [33] He, J.H., Variational principle for the generalized KdV-burgers equation with fractal derivatives for shallow water waves, Journal of Applied and Computational Mechanics, 6(4), 2020, 735-740.
- [34] Yue, S., He, J.H., Variational principle for a generalized KdV equation in a fractal space, Fractals, 28(4), 2020, 2050069
- [35] He, J.H., Variational principle and periodic solution of the Kundu-Mukherjee-Naskar equation, Results in Physics, 17, 2020, 103031.
- [36] Cao, X.Q., Guo, Y.N., Hou, S.C., Zhang, C.Z., Peng, K.C., Variational Principles for Two Kinds of Coupled Nonlinear Equations in Shallow Water, Symmetry, 12(5), 2020, 850.
- [37] Cao, X.Q., Liu, B.N., Liu, M.Z., Peng, K.C., Tian, W.L., Variational principles for two kinds of non-linear geophysical KdV equation with fractal derivatives, Thermal Science, 26, 2022, 2505-2515.
- [38] Kuramoto, Y., Diffusion-Induced Chaos in Reaction Systems, Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplements, 64, 1978, 346–367.
- [39] Kuramoto, Y., Tsuzuki, T., On the formation of dissipative structures in reaction–diffusion systems, Theoretical Physics, 54, 1975, 687-699.
- [40] Sivashinsky, G.I., Nonlinear analysis of hydrodynamic instability in laminar flames—I. Derivation of basic equations, Acta Astronautica, 4, 1977, 1177-1206.
- [41] Vlachas, P.R., Pathak, J., Hunt, B.R., Sapsis, T.P., Girvan, M., Ott, E., Koumoutsakos, P., Backpropagation algorithms and Reservoir Computing in Recurrent Neural Networks for the forecasting of complex spatiotemporal dynamics, Neural Networks, 126, 2020, 191–217.
- [42] Brummitt, C.D., Sprott, J., A search for the simplest chaotic partial differential equation, Physics Letters A, 373(31), 2009, 2717-2721.
- [43] Hirota, R., Satsuma, J., Soliton solutions of a coupled Korteweg-de Vries equation, Physics Letters A, 85(8-9), 1981, 407–408.
- [44] Beals, R., Sattinger, D., Solitons and nonlinear wave equations, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1982.
 [45] Yan, Z., The extended Jacobian elliptic function expansion method and its application in the generalized Hirota–Satsuma coupled kdv system, Chaos, Solitons & Fractals, 3(15), 2003, 575583.

ORCID iD

Xiao-Qun Cao https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6135-0712 Meng-Ge Zhou https://orcid.org/0009-0003-5396-0742 Si-Hang Xie https://orcid.org/0009-0009-0498-1647 Ya-Nan Guo https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9372-8276 Ke-Cheng Peng https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3800-271X



© 2024 Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International (CC BY-NC 4.0 license) (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).

How to cite this article: Cao X.Q., Zhou M.G., Xie S.H., Guo Y.N., Peng K.C. New Variational Principles for Two Kinds of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equation in Shallow Water, J. Appl. Comput. Mech., xx(x), 2024, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.22055/jacm.2024.44531.4232

Publisher's Note Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

